

Macroeconomics I PhD

Problem Set 5

2020/21

Please hand in at the latest February 3, 2021

<https://uni-bonn.sciebo.de/s/63uCG5GE28QTZag>

1 Fiscal Theory of the Price Level

This exercise is based on Leeper (1991). Consider a representative household who chooses the sequence of consumption, real money and real bond holdings, $\{c_t, m_t, b_t\}$,¹ so as to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \{\log(c_t) + \log(m_t)\}$$

subject to the budget constraint

$$c_t + \frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{B_t}{P_t} + \tau_t = y + \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t} + R_{t-1} \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t}.$$

The household has utility over real money holdings (MIU framework). In addition, there is no capital in this economy and the income/endowment y is exogenous and constant over time. The budget constraint is expressed in real terms (i.e. in the amount of consumption goods), while R_{t-1} is the *nominal* gross interest rate.

The government uses lump-sum taxes, τ_t , seigniorage (revenue from money creation), and debt issues to finance constant expenditures, g . The budget constraint of the government is

$$\frac{B_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t}{p_t} + \tau_t = g + \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t} + R_{t-1} \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t}.$$

¹ $m_t := \frac{M_t}{P_t}$ and $b_t := \frac{B_t}{P_t}$. We also define gross inflation as $\pi_t := P_t/P_{t-1}$.

Goods market clearing ($c_t + g = y$) implies a constant consumption level of the households. The nominal interest rate and taxes are set by the government according to the monetary and fiscal rules specified below.

- a) Derive the Euler equation for debt and the money-demand relation, (2.2) and (2.3) in Leeper (1991), from the necessary conditions of the household maximization problem.
- b) Households are prevented from issuing debt, $B_t \geq 0 \forall t$. Formulate the household maximization problem as a sequence problem, and show that the transversality conditions for real balances and real debt,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \{ \beta^T m_T \} = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \{ \beta^T b_T \} = 0,$$

imply that sequences $\{m_s, b_s\}_{s=t}^{\infty}$ that fulfill the conditions derived in a) are optimal among all feasible sequences that start at some fixed values m_{t-1}, b_{t-1} .

Use the following proof method (cf. Stokey et al. (1989), section 4.5): given the sequence problem $\max_{\{x_s\}_t^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} F(x_{s-1}, x_s)$, the sequence $\{x_t^*\}_t^{\infty}$, that fulfills the above conditions, and any feasible sequence $\{x_s\}_t^{\infty}$, show that

$$D := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=t}^T \beta^{s-t} (F(x_{s-1}^*, x_s^*) - F(x_{s-1}, x_s)) \geq 0.$$

To do so, use that for any concave function f , $Df(a) \cdot (b-a) \geq f(b) - f(a)$, where $Df(a)$ is the Jacobian of f at a . Then, rearrange to find the term $F_2(x_{s-1}^*, x_s^*) + \beta \cdot F_1(x_s^*, x_{s+1}^*)$ in the sum, and go from there.

In the following, assume that the transversality conditions are fulfilled.

- c) Show that in equilibrium, the present-value condition for real bonds holds, i.e.

$$b_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_t \{ \beta^{j+1} s_{t+j+1} \},$$

where $s_t := \tau_t - g + \frac{M_t - M_{t-1}}{P_t}$ is the government surplus.

Suppose nominal gross interest rates are set according to

$$R_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha\pi_t + \theta_t,$$

where θ_t is white noise (i.e. a zero mean, iid process). Further suppose taxes are set according to

$$\tau_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma b_{t-1} + \psi_t,$$

where ψ_t is white noise. $\alpha_0, \alpha, \gamma_0, \gamma$ are policy parameters chosen by the government.

- d) Derive the following linearizations around the zero-inflation steady state, assuming for simplicity that $\bar{b} = 1^2$:

$$\mathbb{E}_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} = \alpha\beta\hat{\pi}_t + \beta\theta_t, \tag{1}$$

$$\varphi_1\hat{\pi}_t + \hat{b}_t + \varphi_2\hat{\pi}_{t-1} + (\gamma - \beta^{-1})\hat{b}_{t-1} + \varphi_3\theta_t + \psi_t + \varphi_4\theta_{t-1} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Spell out $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$.

- e) Derive the conditions for a (locally) unique equilibrium, ($|\beta^{-1} - \gamma| < 1$ and $|\alpha\beta| > 1$) or ($|\beta^{-1} - \gamma| > 1$ and $|\alpha\beta| < 1$). Assume $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Provide a brief intuition for the conditions.

Possible solution path: cast the system in the form $A\mathbb{E}_t x_{t+1} = Bx_t + C\epsilon_t$ with the endogenous variables $x_t = [\mathbb{E}_{t-1}\hat{b}_t, \mathbb{E}_{t-1}\hat{\pi}_t, \hat{\pi}_t - \mathbb{E}_{t-1}\hat{\pi}_t]$. Derive analytically its generalized eigenvalues, and argue with the Blanchard-Kahn conditions.

- f) For the active monetary policy case (the first set of conditions), derive the law of motion of $\hat{\pi}_t$ and \hat{R}_t . Would it be feasible to uncover monetary policy parameter α by regressing observed nominal interest rates on inflation?

²As before, $\hat{x} = \frac{x-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}}$ denotes the relative deviation from steady state.

For the numerical part, set $\beta = 0.99$, $y = 0.5$, $g = 0.1$, and consider the policy rules

$$R_t = \beta^{-1} + \theta_t, \tag{3}$$

$$\tau_t = 0.11 + \psi_t, \quad \psi_t = 0.99 \cdot \psi_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t-4}^{\psi,4} + \epsilon_t^\psi \tag{4}$$

where $\epsilon_t^{\psi,4}$ is a news shock about future taxes with an anticipation horizon of 4 periods.

- g) Compute the responses of real bond holdings, real balances, and inflation to a monetary policy shock that raises the nominal interest rate by 1%. Interpret the results. *Hint: Using Klein's method, you can treat exogenous shocks as endogenous states, if needed.*
- h) Compute the responses of real bond holdings and inflation to an anticipated 1% tax increase (transitory, but persistent) 4 periods from now. Interpret the results. *Hint: To implement the news shock, add 4 state variables to the system that "track" the news over time.*

References

- Leeper, E. M. (1991). Equilibria under 'active' and 'passive' monetary and fiscal policies. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 27, 129–147.
- Stokey, N. L., E. C. Prescott, and R. E. Lucas (1989). *Recursive methods in economic dynamics*. Harvard University Press Cambridge, Mass.